



# **Diagnostic tool for ALADIN lateral coupling**

Ján Mašek, Slovak HydroMeteorological Institute

29<sup>th</sup> EWGLAM / 14<sup>th</sup> SRNWP Meetings Dubrovnik, 8.–11.10.2007

# Motivation

- LAM integration is initial-boundary value problem
- shift towards kilometric resolutions and sophisticated physical packages together with limited computing resources implies use of small LAM domains
- in small domains, solution becomes dominated by LBC quite early
   ⇒ lateral boundary treatment becomes key issue
- subjective evaluation of coupling performance in 3D real cases can be problematic ⇒ diagnostic tool is needed
- once ready, tool can be used to evaluate alternative coupling strategies (Davies relaxation scheme being golden standard)

## Perfect model approach (after Elía, Laprise and Denis, MWR 2002)



## LAM domains



MFST (reference LAM)



 $\Delta x = \Delta y = 9.5$  km, 37 levels 8 point wide relaxation zone (I-zone) SL2TL SI scheme with  $\Delta t = 400$  s

domain	C + I	C + I + E	truncation
MFST	589 × 309	600 × 320	299  imes 159
DOM1	139  imes 139	150  imes 150	$74 \times 74$

DOM1 (nested LAM)

### LBC filtering for nested LAM

$$c_{m,n}^{\text{filt}} = c_{m,n} \cdot f(r_{m,n})$$

$$f(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & ; r \leq r_1^{\text{crit}} \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cos \left[ \pi \frac{r - r_1^{\text{crit}}}{r_2^{\text{crit}} - r_1^{\text{crit}}} \right]; r_1^{\text{crit}} < r \leq r_2^{\text{crit}} \\ ; r > r_2^{\text{crit}} \end{cases}$$



$$r_{m,n} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{m}{M}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^2} = \frac{k}{k_{\max}}$$



jump in resolution 3 was simulated using values  $r_1^{\rm crit} = 0$ ,  $r_2^{\rm crit} = \frac{1}{3}$ 

(all waves shorter than  $6\Delta x$  removed)

#### Choice of parameter and scores





### Sensitivity to LBC treatment

normalized vorticity RMSE (at 500 hPa level)

- perfect init
- coupling frequency 3 h



## Sensitivity to initial state

normalized vorticity RMSE (at 500 hPa level)

- filtered LBC
- coupling frequency 3 h



### Sensitivity to coupling frequency



#### Two extreme cases – evolution of vorticity RMSE

1) perfect init, perfect LBC (▼)

2) flat init, filtered LBC ( $\blacktriangle$ )



### Two extreme cases – vorticity field after 48 hours

#### perfect init, perfect LBC

#### flat init, filtered LBC



### **Spectral composition of RMSE**

RMSE over forecast days 3-10 (relative to filtered LBC)

- perfect init
- filtered LBC
- coupling frequency 3 h



## Note on forecast skill

- due to double penalty, RMSE is too strict measure of forecast skill
- on the plot below, mesoscale system resolved at  $\Delta x = 10$  km and delayed by 30 min causes strong deterioration of RMSE score, but the forecast can be assumed almost perfect



- point interpretation of high resolution forecasts is problematic, still there can be useful information contained in short scales
- going to  $\Delta x = 1 \text{ km}$ , one does not expect accuracy in time 3 min!

# Conclusions

- diagnostic tool for ALADIN lateral coupling is ready
- perfect model approach enables to isolate error caused by coupling scheme from other errors
- basic tests of Davies coupling in spectral LAM were carried out, illustrating most important limiting factors for LAM approach:
  - lack of predictive skill at higher levels, when measured by RMSE (long forecast lead times)
  - quality of initial state (short forecast lead times)
  - coupling frequency
- these results are not so interesting per se, since no competing scheme was evaluated
- field for testing new ideas is opened